

2005-06 Consolidated Grants Program
Integrated Watershed Management Program (IWMP) - Planning* Funding List
(Adopted by the State Water Board on September 6, 2006.)

PIN	PROPOSAL TITLE	NATIVE REGION(S)	FUNDS REQUESTED	TOTAL
9328	Developing the Sacramento River Watershed Management Plan: A Roadmap for the Future	5S	\$420,000	\$420,000
8830	Big Creek Watershed Management: Collaborative Planning for Hayfork's Municipal Water Source	1	\$230,000	\$650,000
9318	Napa River Sediment Reduction and Habitat Enhancement Plan	2	\$500,000	\$1,150,000
9511	Mad River Integrated Watershed Management Plan	1	\$355,175	\$1,505,175
9236	Toward a Working TMDL: A Watershed Plan for the Van Duzen River Basin	1	\$340,200	\$1,845,375
9069	Nacimiento and San Antonio River Integrated Watershed Management Plans	3	\$487,400	\$2,332,775
9163	Pilarcitos Creek Integrated Watershed Management Plan	2	\$202,500	\$2,535,275
9041	Aligning Land Use Policies and Water Quality Management	Statewide	\$500,000	\$3,035,275
8833	Salmon Creek Integrated Assessment Plan	1	\$225,000	\$3,260,275
9211	Assessment of an Urban Watershed as a Teaching Tool for Local Watershed Groups	2	\$180,000	\$3,440,275
9369	San Gregorio Creek Watershed: Planning for Restoration	2	\$500,000	\$3,940,275
9464	Mill Creek General Planning	1	\$217,500	\$4,157,775
9075	Stillwater-Churn Creek Watershed Management Plan	5R	\$110,586	\$4,268,361
9188	Agua Hedionda Watershed Management Project	9	\$500,000	\$4,768,361
8893	Rodeo Watershed Planning	2	\$230,000	\$4,998,361
9153	Rose Creek Watershed: Hydrology Assessment and Mission Bay Wetlands	9	\$200,000	\$5,198,361
9388	Russian River Tributary Watersheds Integrated Management Plan and TMDL Support	1	\$500,000	\$5,698,361
9447	East Walker Watershed Management Plan	6	\$199,000	\$5,897,361
9545	Upper Laguna Creek Collaborative Planning Process	5S	\$174,480	\$6,071,841
9512	Pacific Grove CCA Watershed Planning & BMP Feasibility Study	3	\$118,770	\$6,190,611

Funding Line

\$6,190,611

*Funding is conditioned upon grantees addressing technical reviewer comments during the development of their grant agreement.